Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box -> [+]

HDP/SB/21 based on PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

			Applic	cation Number	10/080,111		1
ু TRANS	SMITTAL		Filing	Dat	February 21, 2002	•	٦
TRANS	PRM		First I	Named Inventor	Roland Rupp et al.		ヿ
(tabe used for all corres	spondence after in	itial filing)	Group	Art Unit		RECE	7
ax C			Exami	ner Name			¥
Total Number of Pages in	This Submission		Attorn	ey Docket Number	4965-000121	8 O YAM	力
	<del></del>	ENCLO	DSURES	(check all that apply)	1	Technology Ce	nta
Fee Transmittal Form	n	Assigni	ment Par Application	pers	After Allowance Co Group		Ĩ
Fee Attached		☐ Drawin	g(s)		Appeal Communica Appeals and Interfe	ation to Board of erences	
Amendment / Respo	nse	Licensi	ng-relate	d Papers	Appeal Communica (Appeal Notice, Brief,		
After Final		Petition	1		Proprietary Informa	tion	
Affidavits/declara	ation(s)		n to Conv onal App		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Re	equest			ey, Revocation espondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below		
Express Abandonme	ent Request	_	al Disclai		English Transl International P Examination R	reliminary	
☐ Information Disclosu	re Statement	CD, Nu	ımber of	CD(s)	postcard.		
Certified Copy of Price Document(s)	ority	Rema	rks	additional fees that n	s hereby authorized to c nay be required under 3 No. 08-0750. A duplicate	7 CFR 1.16 or 1.1	7
Response to Missing Incomplete Application				•			
Response to Mis Parts under 37 C 1.52 or 1.53							
	SIGNA	TURE OF A	APPLIC	ANT, ATTORNEY, O	R AGENT		
Firm or H Individual name	arness, Dickey &	Pierce, P.L.0		orney Name ristopher M. Brock	Reg. No. 27313		
Signature	P/ - 1	polin	Zu	Brocle			
Date A	pril 29, 2002	/					
	С	ERTIFICAT	TE OF N	AILING/TRANSMIS	SION		$\bar{Z}$
	ioner of Patents a	nd Trademai			al Service as first class manager facsimile transmitted to the		
Typed or printed name	Christopher M	. Brock					コ
Signature	1//2	< 1 . D		2 / 2 /	Date April 29, 20	002	ヿ



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

4035P111WO WW/fr	FOR FURTHER ACTION		ofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary eport (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	ionth/year) P	riority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP00/08062	18 August 2000 (18.	08.00)	23 August 1999 (23.08.99)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n H04L 12/00	national classification and IPC				
			RECEIVED		
Applicant	PILZ GMBH & C	О.	MAY 0 8 2002		
			Technology Center 2100		
This international preliminary exam     and is transmitted to the applicant act		by this Internation	onal Preliminary Examining Authority		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	6 sheets, including	g this cover shee	t.		
amended and are the basis for	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of r this report and/or sheets contain Administrative Instructions under	ing rectification	claims and/or drawings which have been ns made before this Authority (see Rule		
These annexes consist of a to	tal of sheets.				
3. This report contains indications related	ting to the following items:				
I Basis of the report	·		,		
II Priority					
III Non-establishment o	of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step a	and industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of inve					
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in th	e international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report					
16 March 2001 (16.03	3.01)	03 Decer	mber 2001 (03.12.2001)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authori	Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.	Telepho	Telephone No.			

Translation

International application No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

# PCT/EP00/08062

1.	Basis	of the re	port					
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*								
		the inte	mational application as originally filed					
	$\boxtimes$	the desc	ription:					
		pages	1-28	, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
	$\square$	the clair	ns.					
	بككا	pages		, as originally filed				
		pages	, as amended (togethe					
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	1-12 , filed with the letter of	08 October 2001 (08.10.2001)				
	$\square$	. مسلم مطه						
		the drav	•	, as originally filed				
		pages pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages .	, filed with the letter of					
	t	he seque	nce listing part of the description:					
		pages .						
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
2.	the in	ternation	the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to the all application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. It is swere available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	is Authority in the language in which				
		the lang	uage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Ro	ule 23.1(b)).				
		the lang	uage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the lang	guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary.	examination (under Rule 55.2 and/				
3.	With	regard ninary ex	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internal amination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	tional application, the international				
		contain	ed in the international application in written form.					
		filed tog	ether with the international application in computer readable form.					
	$\Box$	furnishe	d subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	$\Box$	furnishe	d subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
			tement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not onal application as filed has been furnished.	go beyond the disclosure in the				
	Ш	The sta	tement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical nished.	to the written sequence listing has				
4.			endments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			he description, pages					
			he claims, Nos13					
		t	he drawings, sheets/fig					
5.			ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	nce they have been considered to go				
	Repla in thi and 7	s report	neets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invita as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do no	tion under Article 14 are referred to t contain amendments (Rule 70.16				
		•	nt sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and anne	xed to this report.				

Iternational application No.

PCT/EP 00/08062

V. Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporti	35(2) with regard to novelty, ng such statement	inventive step or industrial app	licability;
. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations
  - This report makes reference to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 817 423 (HAGER ELECTRO S.A.), 7 January 1998 (1998-01-07)

D2: EP-A-0 905 594 (PHOENIX CONTACT GMBH & CO), 31 March 1999 (1999-03-31).

- The subject matter of Claims 1 and 12 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step.
- In the terminology of Claim 1, D1 discloses a method for configuring a secure bus subscriber station (see the title and Claim 1) when the station is connected to a field bus in a secure control system, a defined subscriber address being associated with the secure bus subscriber station; the method has the following steps:
  - transmission of a first log-on telegram (see Claim 2 in column 21, lines 16-22) from the secure bus subscriber station to a management unit connected to the field bus, the first log-on telegram containing a fixed universal address;
  - transmission of an address allocation telegram

(see Claim 3 in column 21, lines 24-30) from the management unit to the secure bus subscriber station, the address allocation telegram containing the defined subscriber address; and

- storing the defined subscriber address (see Claim 3 in column 21, lines 24-30) in a memory of the secure bus subscriber station.

The subject matter of Claim 1 also differs from the prior art known from D1 in that the secure bus subscriber station sends the first log-on telegram to the management unit after it receives a defined service telegram.

The subject matter of Claim 1 therefore addresses the problem of devising a method that meets increased security requirements for communications.

Proceeding from D1, the solution to this problem is neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art. D2 discloses an interbus system in which data are additionally transmitted in negated form in order to increase communication security. It does not disclose the operation of a bus subscriber station on the basis of service telegrams.

The subject matter of Claim 1 therefore appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step.

2.2 Claim 12 defines the subject matter of claim 1 on the basis of device features which correspond to the method steps defined in Claim 1. Consequently, the above explanations with regard to the novelty and obviousness of the subject matter of Claim 1 likewise apply to Claim 12. The subject matter of

Claim 12 therefore also appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step.

- 3. Dependent Claims 2-11 concern developments of the method as per Claim 1. The subject matter of dependent Claims 2-11 therefore also appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step.
- 4. The subject matter of Claims 1-12 is unquestionably industrially applicable.

mational application No.
PCT/EP 00/08062

#### VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- 5. Pursuant to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description should have cited documents D1 and D2 and briefly outlined the relevant prior art (see also the PCT International Preliminary Examination Guidelines, Chapter II-4.4).
- 6. When the applicant submitted amended claims, he should at the same time have brought the description into line with the amended claims (PCT Rule 5.1(a)(iii)). This concerns, in particular, the paragraphs from page 7, line 1 to page 14, line 18, which practically literally reproduce the wording of the claims.